

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\*\*\*

GILBERTO RAMOS.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00219-MMD-WGC

Y

**Petitioner**

## ORDER

WARDEN LEGRAND, et al,

## Respondents.

16        This counseled 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition is before the Court on several  
17 unopposed motions for extension of time. Further, on February 23, 2015, respondents  
18 filed a motion to dismiss the petition as time-barred. (Dkt. no. 16.) On August 11, 2015,  
19 counsel for petitioner filed a third, unopposed motion for extension of time to oppose the  
20 motion to dismiss. (Dkt. no. 19.) Counsel acknowledges that the briefing on the motion  
21 to dismiss has now been extended for a protracted period of time. (*Id.*) He explains that  
22 in order to explore whether a sufficient factual basis exists for an equitable tolling  
23 argument, he must meet with petitioner again. (*Id.*) He also states that such meeting  
24 requires a Spanish language interpreter, and therefore, he seeks until October 15,  
25 2015, to file and serve the opposition.

26 The Court shall grant this extension. Counsel for petitioner is expressly cautioned  
27 that, absent extraordinary circumstances, the Court is highly unlikely to grant any further  
28 extension.

1 It is therefore ordered that petitioner's third motion for extension of time to  
2 oppose the motion to dismiss (dkt. no. 19) is granted as discussed in this order.  
3 Petitioner shall file his opposition on or before October 15, 2015.

4 It is further ordered that petitioner's motion for extension of time to file a first  
5 amended petition (dkt. no. 9) is granted *nunc pro tunc*.

6 It is further ordered that, good cause appearing, petitioner's motion to file exhibits  
7 under seal (dkt. no. 14) is granted.

8 It is further ordered that respondents' motion for extension of time to respond to  
9 the first amended petition (dkt. no. 15) is granted *nunc pro tunc*.

10 It is further ordered that petitioner's first and second motions for extension of time  
11 to oppose the motion to dismiss (dkt. nos. 17, 18) are both granted *nunc pro tunc*.

12 DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of September 2015.



MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE